

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Donnie K. Reinhard, Jes Asmussen, Michael F. Becker, In re application of: Timothy A. Grotjohn, Thomas Schuelke and Roger Booth Application No.: 10 /698,921 Group No.:

Filed: October 31, 2003

Examiner:

For DRAPABLE DIAMOND THIN FILMS AND METHOD FOR THE PREPARATION

THEREOF

**Commissioner for Patents** 

P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## STATUS INQUIRY

| 1                                | WARINING    |  | Notice of Allowance may subect an application to a reduction<br>C.F.R. § 1.704(c)(10). See Notice of may 29, 2001, 1247 OG |  |
|----------------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| 1.                               | More t      | han $8$ months have pas  | ssed since   |  |
|                                  | X           | NEW APPLICATIONS   |  |  |
|                                  |             | the filing of this application of                                      | October 31, 2003   |  |
|                                  |             | No communication has been  | received from the Patent and Trademark Office cation We have not received a  |  |
|                                  | Ц           |  | - ·  |  |
|                                  |             | the filing of a response on  | :  |  |
|                                  |             | No further communication has<br>Office.                                | s been received from the Patent and Trademark  |  |
|                                  |             | APPEALED APPLICATION   |  |  |
|                                  |             | The Appeal Brief was file  | d on   |  |
|                                  |             | (When using Express Mail, the l<br>Express Mail o                      | R 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10* Express Mail label number is mandatory; certification is optional.)                        |  |
| 1 he                             | ereby certi | fy that, on the date shown below, this                                 |  |  |
| _                                |             |  | MAILING  |  |
| K                                | •           | l with the United States Postal Service<br>, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 | in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O.   |  |
|                                  |             | 37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)   | 37 C.F.R. § 1.10 *   |  |
| K                                | with suffic | cient postage as first class mail.                                     | as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"   |  |
|                                  |             |  | Mailing Label No (mandatory)   |  |
|                                  |             | •                                | INSMISSION   |  |
|                                  | facsimile   | transmitted to the Patent and Traden                                   | nark Office, (703)   |  |
|                                  |             |  | gessie a RALIX   |  |
|                                  | ~ (0)       |  | Signature  |  |
| Date: 7/0.6/04  Jessica R. House |             |  |  |  |
|                                  |             |  | (type or print name of person certifying)  |  |
|                                  |             |  |  |  |

(Status Inquiry [9-3]—page 1 of 3)

<sup>\*</sup> Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

| (check and complete applicable items below | • |
|--|---|
|  |   |

|   | ☐ An Examiner's Answer was mailed on                       |
|---|--|
|   | ☐ A Reply to the Examiner's Answer was submitted on        |
|   | ALLOWED APPLICATIONS                                       |
| • | the mailing of FORM POL-327 and/or Examiner's Amendment on |

2. Kindly advise the undersigned of the present status of this application, by checking the appropriate box below. A stamped return-addressed envelope is provided.

NOTE: M.P.E.P. § 203.08 Status Inquiries, 8th Edition, cautions as to the submission of status inquiries as follows:

#### "NEW APPLICATION

"Current examining procedures now provide for the routine mailing from the Technology Centers (TCs) of Form PTOL-37 in every case of allowance of an application. Thus, the mailing of a form PTOL-37 in addition to a formal Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would seem to obviate the need for status inquiries even as a precautionary measure where the applicant may believe his or her new application may have been passed to issue on the first examination. However, as an exception, a status inquiry would be appropriate where a Notice of Allowance is not received within three months from receipt of form PTOL-37.

"Current examining procedures also aim to minimize the spread in dates among the various examiner dockets of each art unit and TC with respect to actions on new applications. Accordingly, the dates of the "oldest new applications" appearing in the Official Gazette are fairly reliable guides as to the expected time frames of when the examiners reach the applications or action.

"Therefore, it should be rarely necessary to query the status of a new application.

### "AMENDED APPLICATIONS

"Amended applications are expected to be taken up by the examiner and an action completed within two months of the date the examiner receives the application. Accordingly, a status inquiry is not in order after reply by the attorney until 5 or 6 months have elapsed with no response from the Office. A postcard receipt for replies to Office actions, adequately and specifically identifying the papers filed, will be considered prima facie proof of receipt of such papers. Where such proof indicates the timely filing of a reply, the submission of a copy of the postcard with a copy of the reply will ordinarily obviate the need for a petition to revive. Proof of receipt of a timely reply to a final action will obviate the need for a petition to revive only if the reply was in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113."

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONE

Reg. No.: 20,931 Ian C. McLeod

(type or print name of practitioner)

Tel. No.: (517) 347-4100 <u>2190 Commons Parkway</u>

P.O. Address

Okemos, Michigan 48864

Customer No.: 21036



Preliminary Classification:

Proposed Class:

Subclass:

NOTE: "All applicants are requested to include a preliminary classification on newly filed patent applications. The preliminary classification, preferably class and subclass designations, should be identified in the upper right-hand corner of the letter of transmittal accompanying the application papers, for example 'Proposed Class 2, subclass 129." M.P.E.P. § 601, 7th ed.

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Mail Stop Patent Application Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450

## NEW APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL

Transmitted herewith for filing is the patent application of

Inventor(s): Donnie K, Reinhard, Jes Asmussen, Michael F. Becker, Timothy A. Grotjohn, Thomas Schuelke and Roger Booth

WARNING: 37 C.F.R. \$ 1.41(a)(1) points out:

"(a) A patent is applied for in the name or names of the actual inventor or inventors.

"(1) The inventorship of a nonprovisional application is that inventorship set forth in the oath or declaration as prescribed by § 1.63, except as provided for in § 1.53(d)(4) and § 1.63(d). If an oath or declaration as prescribed by § 1.63 is not filed during the pendency of a nonprovisional application, the inventorship is that inventorship set forth in the application papers filed pursuant to § 1.53(b), unless a petition under this paragraph accompanied by the fee set forth in § 1.17(i) is filed supplying or changing the name or names of the inventor or inventors." For (title):

DRAPABLE DIAMOND THIN FILMS AND METHOD FOR THE PREPARATION

## EXPRESS MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.10\*

(Express Mail label number is mandatory.)
(Express Mail certification is optional.)

Jessica R. House

(type or print name of person mailing paper)

Schature of person certifying

WARNING: Certificate of mailing (first class) or facsimile transmission procedures of 37 C.F.R. 1.8 cannot be used to obtain a date of mailing or transmission for this correspondence.

\*WARNING: Each paper or fee filed by "Express Mail" must have the number of the "Express Mail" mailing label placed thereon prior to mailing. 37 C.F.R. 1.10(b).

"Since the filing of correspondence under § 1.10 without the Express Mail mailing label thereon is an oversight that can be avoided by the exercise of reasonable care, requests for waiver of this requirement will not be granted on petition." Notice of Oct. 24, 1996, 60 Fed. Reg. 56,439, at 56,442.

(New Application Transmittal [4-1]—page 1 of 15)



Received New Patent Application; Declaration; Assignment and fee; Information Statement and a Check in the amount of \$1,204 (\$1,164 - filing fee and \$80 2Assignment fee) in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, re:

Applicants: Donnie K. Reinhard, Jes Asmussen, Michael

F. Becker, Timothy A. Grotjohn, Thomas

Schuelke and Roger Booth

For: DRAPABLE DIAMOND THIN FILMS AND METHOD FOR THE

PREPARATION THEREOF

DM: 10/31/03 MSU 4.1-655

ICM/jrh

22388 U.S. PTO 10/698921

103103